


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/456,249	RUBIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sanjiv D. Shah	2624	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>Sanjiv D. Shah</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>John Fleming</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>5 April 2006</u>	Time: <u>4:30 PM</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>As cited in prior office action</i>			
Claims discussed:			
3, 4, 5, 15			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>As cited in prior art</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>Examiner suggested examiner's amendment. Applicant's attorney authorized examiner to make examiner's amendment.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
 <u>Sanjiv D. Shah</u> <u>Primary Examiner</u>		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)			